


Program in Policy Decision-Making
 McMaster University
 13 May 2010




Towards Evidence-Informed Health Systems

Primary Health Care Research & Information Service
 Adelaide, Australia

John N. Lavis, MD, PhD
 Professor and Canada Research Chair in Knowledge Transfer and Exchange
 McMaster University



Overview (2)




Questions for consideration

- What's a policymaker?
- What are the influences on the policymaking process?
- What does evidence-informed policymaking mean?
- Can we do harm with knowledge transfer and exchange (KTE) efforts targeted at policymakers?

Supporting Research Use by Policymakers (2)

Overview



What do we know about supporting the use of research evidence by policymakers?

What are the challenges that such efforts are striving to overcome?

What are some innovative strategies that are being developed and evaluated?

What can you do to support evidence-informed health systems?


Supporting Research Use by Policymakers



Increasingly efforts to support research use strive to address the two factors that emerged with some consistency in a systematic review of the factors that increased the prospects for research use

- Interactions between researchers and policymakers
 - Engage policymakers in priority-setting, research (including reviews), and deliberative dialogues
- Timing / timeliness
 - Facilitate retrieval of research evidence, including optimally packaged systematic reviews and review-derived products (e.g., one-stop shops, training workshops, rapid-response units)

Supporting Research Use by Policymakers (3)



Such efforts need to recognize that research evidence can play many roles in policymaking


- Helps to get problems on the agenda (i.e., what challenges should we focus on?)
- Helps to solve particular problems at hand (i.e., what policy option or implementation strategy should we support?)
- Helps to think about problems, solutions and implementation differently (i.e., how should we begin to approach this challenge?)
- Helps to justify a decision made for other reasons (i.e., how can we 'sell' the position we've taken?)

Supporting Research Use by Policymakers (4) 

Such efforts also need to recognize that research evidence can support many steps in the policymaking process (and that reviews make this feasible)

- Defining the problem
 - Identifying indicators and making comparisons (over time, across settings or against plans)
 - Reviews of observational studies (e.g., administrative database studies, community surveys)
 - Highlighting alternative framings of the problem to assist with mobilizing support among different groups
 - Reviews of qualitative studies that examine stakeholders' views about and experiences with the problem


7

Supporting Research Use by Policymakers (6) 

Reviews can inform many steps (3)

- Assessing potential policy and program options (2)
 - Describing the cost-effectiveness of options
 - (Reviews of) Economic evaluations
 - Describing the key elements of complex options (to facilitate local adaptation if necessary)
 - Reviews of qualitative studies that examine how or why interventions work (i.e., process evaluations)
 - Describing stakeholders' views about and experiences with the options
 - Reviews of qualitative studies that examine stakeholders' views about and experiences with particular options

9


Supporting Research Use by Policymakers (8) 

Why reviews?

- Reduce likelihood of being misled by research evidence
- Increase confidence about what can be expected
- Allow policymakers to focus on assessing applicability to their setting and on collecting and analyzing other inputs to the policymaking process
- Allow stakeholders to contest the research evidence

Systematic reviews can be conducted for any type of policy question, focus on any type of research study, and bring to attention both unpublished studies and studies written in languages other than English.

11

Supporting Research Use by Policymakers (5) 

Reviews can inform many steps (2)

- Assessing potential policy & program options
 - Identifying several feasible policy and program options that could affect the problem
 - Frameworks (alone or embedded in reviews and overviews of reviews)
 - Describing the positive effects (benefits) of the options
 - Reviews of effectiveness studies (e.g., randomized controlled trials, interrupted time series, CBAs)
 - Describing the negative effects (harms) of the options
 - Reviews of effectiveness or observational studies


8

Supporting Research Use by Policymakers (7) 

Reviews can inform many steps (4)

- Identifying implementation considerations
 - Identifying potential barriers to implementation at the level of patients/ consumers, health workers, organizations and systems
 - Reviews of observational studies and/or qualitative studies
 - Describing the effects of appropriately targeted implementation strategies
 - Reviews of effectiveness studies


10

Supporting Research Use by Policymakers (9) 

Even if the findings of a review are not applicable to a particular setting, insights can still be drawn about

- Features of a problem
- Policy or program options
- Implementation considerations
- Approaches to monitoring and evaluation


12

Supporting Research Use by Policymakers (10) 

Questions for consideration

- What role are you trying to play in the policymaking process (e.g., helping policymakers to think about problems, solutions and implementation differently)?
- What step in the policymaking process are you trying to inform (and is a 'window of opportunity' open, opening or openable)?
- Can you situate your study in the context of a systematic review?
- Do you have the time and resources to inform other steps in the policymaking process at the same time (using available systematic reviews)?

13

Addressing Challenge 1 


Challenge 1

- Research competes with many other factors in the policymaking process
 - Institutional constraints (e.g., constitutional rules)
 - Interest group pressure
 - Citizens' values / Other types of information (e.g., experience)
 - External events (e.g., global recession)

One option (among many) for addressing challenge 1

- Improve democratic processes (but this is beyond the scope of most of us) or create 'routine' processes (as many countries have done for 'technologies')

15

Addressing Challenge 3 

Challenge 3

- Research isn't relevant

One option (among many) for addressing challenge 3


- Engage policymakers periodically in priority-setting processes and communicate the priorities to researchers, including
 - Long-term requirements for new primary research
 - Medium-term requirements for new or updated systematic reviews
 - Short-term requirements for evidence briefs

17

Challenges in Linking Research to Policymaking 

1. Research competes with many other factors in the policymaking process
2. Research isn't valued as an information input
3. Research isn't relevant
4. Research isn't easy to use

14

Addressing Challenge 2 

Challenge 2


- Research isn't valued as an information input

One option (among many) for addressing challenge 2

- Convince policymakers to place value on the use of research by highlighting examples from the past or from other jurisdictions where research made the difference between policy/program success and failure (or communication success and failure – e.g., World Health Organization and World Bank)

Hoffman SJ, Lavis JN, Bennett S. The use of research evidence in two international organizations' recommendations about health systems. Healthcare Policy 2009; 5(1): 66-86.

16

Addressing Challenge 4a 

Challenge 4

- Research isn't easy to use


Challenge 4a

- Research isn't communicated effectively (i.e., policymakers hear noise instead of music)

One option (among many) for addressing challenge 4a

- Identify the key messages from your research (what)
- Identify and study the target audiences (to whom)
- Identify and work with/through credible messengers (by whom)
- Employ promising dissemination strategies (how)
- Monitor processes and outcomes (with what effect)

18



Addressing Challenge 4c

Challenge 4

- Research isn't easy to use

Challenge 4c

- Policymakers lack mechanisms to prompt them to use research in policymaking

One option (among many) for addressing challenge 4c

- Propose changes to cabinet submissions and program plans to prompt analysts to summarize whether and how research informed the definition of a problem, the framing of options to address the problem, and the proposed approach to implementation

21

Addressing Challenges in Supporting Research Use

- 1. Research isn't valued as an information input [General climate for research use]**
- 2. Research isn't relevant [Production]**
- 3. Research isn't easy to use [Translation]**
 - a. Research isn't communicated effectively [**Push**]
 - b. Research isn't available when policymakers need it and in a form that they can use [**Facilitating pull**]
 - c. Policymakers lack mechanisms to prompt them to use research in policymaking [**Pull**]
 - d. Policymakers lack fora where system challenges can be worked through with key stakeholders [**Exchange**]

23

Addressing Challenge 4b

Challenge 4

- Research isn't easy to use

Challenge 4b

- Research isn't available when policymakers need it and in a form that they can use

Two options (among many) for addressing challenge 4b

- Maintain a policymaker-targeted website that provides a one-stop shop for reviews and review-derived products (e.g., Health Systems Evidence)
- Provide policymaker-targeted training workshops and related tools that provide the knowledge and skills needed to find and use research evidence efficiently (and to conduct health policy analyses)

20

Addressing Challenge 4d

Challenge 4

- Research isn't easy to use


Challenge 4d

- Policymakers lack forums where system challenges can be discussed with stakeholders and researchers

One option (among many) for addressing challenge 4d

- Plan deliberative dialogues at which pre-circulated evidence summaries serve as the starting point for off-the-record deliberations involving policymakers, stakeholders, and researchers

22



Some Innovative Strategies that are Being Developed and Evaluated (1a)



Health Systems Evidence contains ~1,150 systematic reviews (and review-derived products) about health system arrangements and implementation strategies

- Evidence briefs
 - E.g., McMaster Health Forum evidence briefs, European Observatory & EVIPNet policy briefs
- Overviews of systematic reviews
 - E.g., Lancet articles on HRH and PHC
- Reviews, with links to user-friendly summaries
 - E.g., SUPPORT summaries that grade the evidence and highlight local applicability, equity and scaling up considerations

25

Some Innovative Strategies that are Being Developed and Evaluated (1c)



Health Systems Evidence (3)

- Health Systems Evidence Service
 - Topic-specific services on issues addressed by the McMaster Health Forum's stakeholder dialogues (e.g., strengthening primary healthcare)
 - General evidence service
- Complementary one-stop shops for systematic reviews
 - Cochrane Library for clinical programs and services, and for drugs (and for economic evaluations)
 - Health-evidence.ca for public/population health programs and services

27

Some Innovative Strategies that are Being Developed and Evaluated (2b)



Policymaker training workshops (2)

- Focused on helping policymakers do their jobs better or more efficiently (whether they have five minutes, five hours or five days to 'work up' an issue)
- Now turned into 19 tools to support evidence-informed policymaking, examples of which include
 3. Defining the problem
 4. Framing options to address a problem
 8. Assessing the applicability of the findings from a systematic review

28

Some Innovative Strategies that are Being Developed and Evaluated (1b)



Health Systems Evidence (2) - Searches retrieve

- Type of synthesis (e.g., systematic review)
- Type of question (e.g., effectiveness)
- Health system topic (e.g., task shifting / substitution)
- Title of the synthesis
- Last year literature searched
- Quality rating (using AMSTAR criteria)
- Countries in which studies were conducted
- Link to any freely available user-friendly summaries, scientific abstracts, and full-text reports
- Option to create a 1-page summary of the above

26

Some Innovative Strategies that are Being Developed and Evaluated (2a)



Policymaker training workshops address: 1) defining problems, 2) framing and describing what's known about options, 3) identifying implementation challenges and describing what's known about implementation strategies

- Five-day version available through the Executive Training Program for Research Application (EXTRA) program
- Two-day version offered in a number of settings in Africa and Latin America
- One-day version offered at the Ontario Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care, Health Canada, and the Pan American Health Organization (and 1.5- and 3-hour versions also offered at the Ontario Ministry)

28


Some Innovative Strategies that are Being Developed and Evaluated (3a)



McMaster Health Forum's deliberative (stakeholder) dialogues share seven features:

1. Consulting with event partners and stakeholders to frame the terms of reference for the evidence brief and to develop the list of dialogue participants
2. Preparing and circulating an evidence brief that mobilizes both global and local research evidence about the problem, three options for addressing the problem, and relevant implementation considerations
3. Convening ~20 individuals for an off-the-record stakeholder dialogue chaired by a neutral facilitator


30

Some Innovative Strategies that are Being Developed and Evaluated (3b) 

Stakeholder dialogues (2) - Share seven features

4. Preparing and circulating a high-level summary of the dialogue and video interviews with select dialogue participants
5. Preparing and delivering personalized briefings to key policymakers and stakeholders
6. Providing a year-long evidence service that will bring to attention newly published or newly identified reviews (Health Systems Evidence Service)
7. Evaluating the key features of both the evidence brief and the stakeholder dialogue


31

Some Innovative Strategies that are Being Developed and Evaluated (3d) 

Stakeholder dialogues (4) – Rationale


- Enable interactions between policymakers and researchers (one of the factors associated with research use), and with stakeholders, who can add significant value to these processes
- Allow research evidence to be brought together with the views, experiences and tacit knowledge of those who will be involved in, or affected by, future decisions about a high-priority issue
- Provide locally contextualised 'decision support' for policymakers, as well as for stakeholders who can also often take action to address high-priority issues

33

Some Innovative Strategies that are Being Developed and Evaluated (3f) 

Debate	Dialogue
Oppositional	Collaborative
Winning	Common ground
Affirms perspectives	Enlarges perspectives
Searches for differences	Searches for agreement
Causes critique	Causes introspection
Looks for weaknesses	Looks for strengths
Defends assumptions	Re-evaluates assumptions
Listening for countering	Listening for meaning
Implies a conclusion	Remains open-ended


34

Some Innovative Strategies that are Being Developed and Evaluated (3c) 

Stakeholder dialogues (3) - Require us to

- See/predict and take advantage of windows of opportunity by compressing the life cycle for some topics and expanding it for others
- Engage policymakers and stakeholders able to
 - Bring unique views and experiences, as well as tacit knowledge, to bear on a challenge and learn from the research evidence and others' views and experiences
 - Champion within their respective constituencies the actions that will address the challenge creatively


32

Some Innovative Strategies that are Being Developed and Evaluated (3e) 

Stakeholder dialogues – Part of broader trends

- Evidence-based medicine → Evidence-informed health systems
- Problem-based learning → Collective problem solving
- Debate → Dialogue (and debate)

34

What Can You Do to Support Evidence-Informed Health Systems? 

Questions for discussion

- Are you sure that your study really is the basis for a targeted communication effort (1)... or is it a building block for a larger effort to support research use (2)?
- If 1, are you clear about what message you're trying to communicate (thinking about the role you're trying to play, the steps you're trying to inform, and how it fits into a review), to whom it's targeted, by whom it should be delivered, how, and with what effect?
- If 2, do you have the time and resources to inform other steps in the policymaking process at the same time (perhaps through an evidence brief and a stakeholder dialogue)?
- If you're at PHCRIS, then much more is on the table

36

Resources



Lavis JN. How can we support the use of systematic reviews in policymaking? **PLoS Medicine** 2009; 6(11): e1000141. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000141.

Lavis JN, Oxman AD, Lewin S, Fretheim A: SUPPORT Tools for evidence-informed health Policymaking (STP). Introduction. **Health Research Policy and Systems** 2009, 7(Suppl 1):11 doi:10.1186/1478-4505-7-S1-I1.

www.mcmasterhealthforum.org (McMaster Health Forum)

www.healthsystemsevidence.org (Health Systems Evidence)

www.researchtopolicy.org (Program in Policy Decision-making)